

## THE SPACE OF $(\psi, \gamma)$ -ADDITIVE MAPPINGS ON SEMIGROUPS

VALERIIĬ A. FAĬZIEV, THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS, AND PRASANNA K. SAHOO

*Dedicated to the memory of Maria*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mappings from a semigroup into a Banach space, and we provide a generalized solution of Ulam's problem for approximately additive mappings.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [28] posed the following fundamental problem. Given a group  $G_1$ , a metric group  $(G_2, d)$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then a homomorphism  $T : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  exists with  $d(f(x), T(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ ? See S. M. Ulam [28] for a discussion of such problems, as well as D. H. Hyers [15, 16], D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam [17, 18], Th. M. Rassias [25, 27], J. Aczél and J. Dhombres [1], I. Fenyő [10], and G. L. Forti [12]. The first affirmative answer was given by D. H. Hyers [15] in 1941.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hyers [15]). *Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be Banach spaces. If  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| < \varepsilon$$

*for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $x, y \in E_1$ , then there exists a unique map  $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E_1$$

*and*

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in E_1.$$

The subject rested there until Th. M. Rassias [25] considered a generalized version of the previous result which permitted the Cauchy difference to become unbounded. That is, he assumed that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E_1,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  and  $p$  are constant with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq p < 1$ .

---

Received by the editors November 20, 2000 and, in revised form, November 5, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20M15, 20M30, 39B82.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach spaces, free group, metabelian group, metric group, semigroup, semidirect product of semigroups, stability, wreath product,  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -quasiadditive mapping,  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mapping.

By making use of a direct method, Rassias proved that, in this case too, there is an additive function  $T$  from  $E_1$  into  $E_2$  given by the formula

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

such that

$$\|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq k\varepsilon \|x\|^p,$$

where  $k$  depends on  $p$  as well as  $\varepsilon$ .

In 1990, during the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Functional Equations, Rassias [26] asked whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . Z. Gajda [13], following the same approach as in [25], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . Several generalizations of these results can be found in [19]–[23] and [25, 26]. In connection with these results the following question arises. Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semigroup or group and let a mapping  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (the set of reals) be such that the set  $\{f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) \mid x, y \in S\}$  is bounded. Is it true that there is a mapping  $T : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies

$$T(xy) - T(x) - T(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S,$$

and that the set  $\{T(x) - f(x) \mid x \in S\}$  is bounded?

A negative answer was given by G. L. Forti [11] by means of the following example. Let  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be the free group generated by the two elements  $\alpha, \beta$ . Let each word  $x \in F(\alpha, \beta)$  be written in reduced form; that is,  $x$  does not contain pairs of the forms  $\alpha\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}\alpha$ ,  $\beta\beta^{-1}$ ,  $\beta^{-1}\beta$  and has no exponents different from 1 and  $-1$ . Define the function  $f : F(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows. If  $r(x)$  is the number of pairs of the form  $\alpha\beta$  in  $x$ , and  $s(x)$  is the number of pairs of the form  $\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$  in  $x$ , put  $f(x) = r(x) - s(x)$ . It is easily seen that for all  $x, y \in F(\alpha, \beta)$  we have  $f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Now assume that there is a map  $T : F(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the relations (1.2) and (1.3) hold. However,  $T$  is completely determined by its values  $T(\alpha)$  and  $T(\beta)$ , while  $f$  is identically zero on the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. For  $\alpha \in A$  we have  $T(\alpha^n) = nT(\alpha)$  and  $f(\alpha^n) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (the set of natural numbers). Since  $T(\alpha^n) - f(\alpha^n) = nT(\alpha)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $T(\alpha) = 0$ . Similarly we have  $T(\beta) = 0$ , so that  $T$  is identically zero on  $F(\alpha, \beta)$ . Hence,  $f - T = f$  on  $F(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $f$  is unbounded. This contradiction proves that there is no homomorphism  $T : F(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the relation (1.3) holds.

It turns out that the existence of mappings that are “almost homomorphisms” but are not small perturbations of homomorphisms has an algebraic nature.

**Definition 1.2.** A *quasicharacter* of a semigroup  $S$  is a real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  such that the set  $\{f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) \mid x, y \in S\}$  is bounded.

**Definition 1.3.** By a *pseudocharacter* of a semigroup  $S$  (group  $S$ ) we mean a quasicharacter  $f$  that satisfies  $f(x^n) = nf(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $S$  is a group).

The set of quasicharacters of a semigroup  $S$  is a vector space (with respect to the usual operations of addition of functions and their multiplication by numbers), which will be denoted by  $KX(S)$ . The subspace of  $KX(S)$  consisting of pseudocharacters will be denoted by  $PX(S)$ , and the subspace consisting of real additive characters of the semigroup  $S$  will be denoted by  $X(S)$ . We say that a pseudocharacter  $\varphi$  of the group  $G$  is *nontrivial* if  $\varphi \notin X(G)$ . In connection with

the example of Forti [11], note that his function is a quasicharacter of the free group  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  but not a pseudocharacter of  $F(\alpha, \beta)$ . In [5] the set of all pseudocharacters of free groups was described. In [4]–[9] a description of the spaces of pseudocharacters on free groups, semigroups, free products of semigroups, and on some extensions of free groups was given.

For a mapping  $f$  of the group  $G$  into the semigroup of linear transformations of a vector space, sufficient conditions for the coincidence of the solution of the functional inequality  $\|f(xy) - f(x) \cdot f(y)\| < c$  with the solution of the corresponding functional equation  $f(xy) - f(x) \cdot f(y) = 0$  were studied in [2, 14, 24]. In the papers [14, 24], it was independently shown that if a continuous mapping  $f$  of a compact group  $G$  into the algebra of endomorphisms of a Banach space satisfies the relation  $\|f(xy) - f(x) \cdot f(y)\| \leq \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G$  with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , then  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to a continuous representation  $g$  of the same group in the same Banach space (that is, we have  $\|f(x) - g(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ).

In this paper, we introduce the notions of  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -quasiadditive mapping and  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mapping. These notions include the notion of pseudocharacter and the notion of  $\psi$ -additive mappings. The latter have been introduced in [22] and [23].

## 2. THE SPACE OF $(\psi, \gamma)$ -PSEUDOADDITIVE MAPPINGS

In what follows, by  $\psi$  we will mean a function from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (the set of positive reals) to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $\psi$  is an increasing function,
- 2)  $\psi(t) < t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,
- 3)  $\psi(t_1 t_2) \leq \psi(t_1) \psi(t_2)$ ,
- 4)  $\psi(t_1 + t_2) \leq \psi(t_1) + \psi(t_2)$ ,
- 5)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} = 0$ .

Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semigroup. By  $\gamma$  we will mean a function from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying the inequality

$$\gamma(xy) \leq \gamma(x) + \gamma(y).$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semigroup and  $E$  a Banach space. Let the functions  $\psi$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy the above conditions. We say that a mapping  $f : S \rightarrow E$  is a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -quasiadditive mapping if there is a  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \quad \forall x, y \in S.$$

It is clear that the set of all  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -additive mappings from  $S$  to  $E$  is a real linear space relative to the usual operations. Let us denote it by  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ . It is obvious that for any  $x \in S$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma(x^m) \leq m \gamma(x).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and  $\theta > 0$  be such that

$$\|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Then for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $c_q \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following relations are valid:

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{q^n} f(x^{q^n}) - f(x) \right\| \leq c_q \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m \psi(\gamma(x))$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{q^n} f(x^{q^n}) - f(x) \right\| \leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x)).$$

*Proof.* We claim that for any positive integer  $q \geq 2$ , there is a  $c_q > 0$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q \in S$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q) - \sum_{i=1}^q f(x_i) \right\| \leq c_q \sum_{i=1}^q \psi(\gamma(x_i)).$$

The proof follows by induction on  $q$ . Obviously (2.5) is true for  $q = 2$ , and we can assume that  $c_2 = \theta$ .

Suppose that (2.5) is satisfied for  $q$ . We prove it for  $q + 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q x_{q+1}) - f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q) - f(x_{q+1})\| \\ & \leq c_2 [\psi(\gamma(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q)) + \psi(\gamma(x_{q+1}))] \\ & \leq c_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^q \psi(\gamma(x_i)) + \psi(\gamma(x_{q+1})) \right] \\ & \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \psi(\gamma(x_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Now from (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q x_{q+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} f(x_i) \right\| & \leq c_q \sum_{i=1}^q \psi(\gamma(x_i)) + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \psi(\gamma(x_i)) \\ & \leq (c_2 + c_q) \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \psi(\gamma(x_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $c_{q+1} = c_2 + c_q$ , we have the required result.

Now we prove (2.3). The proof is by induction on  $n$ . From (2.5) we obtain

$$\|f(x^q) - qf(x)\| \leq c_q \sum_{i=1}^q \psi(\gamma(x)) = c_q q \psi(\gamma(x)).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{q} f(x^q) - f(x) \right\| \leq c_q \psi(\gamma(x)),$$

and (2.3) is established for  $n = 1$ . Next we assume that (2.3) has already been established for  $n$ , and prove it for  $n + 1$ . Substituting  $x$  for  $x^q$  in (2.3), we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{q^n} f((x^q)^{q^n}) - f(x^q) \right\| \leq c_q \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m \psi(\gamma(x^q)).$$

Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{q^n} f((x^q)^{q^n}) - f(x^q) \right\| \leq c_q \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m \psi(q) \psi(\gamma(x))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} f(x^{q^{n+1}}) - \frac{1}{q} f(x^q) \right\| &\leq c_q \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^{m+1} \psi(\gamma(x)) \\ &= c_q \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m \psi(\gamma(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} f(x^{q^{n+1}}) - f(x) \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{q^{n+1}} f(x^{q^{n+1}}) - \frac{1}{q} f(x^q) \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{q} f(x^q) - f(x) \right\| \\ &\leq c_q \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m \psi(\gamma(x)) + c_q \psi(\gamma(x)) \\ (2.7) \quad &\leq c_q \psi(\gamma(x)) \sum_{m=0}^n \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.3) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, taking into account that  $\frac{\psi(q)}{q} < 1$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\psi(q)}{q} \right)^m = \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)},$$

and from (2.7) and (2.8), we get (2.4).  $\square$

From (2.4) it follows that for any  $x \in S$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  the set

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{q^n} f(x^{q^n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is bounded.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ . For any  $x \in S$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{q^k} f(x^{q^k}) \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  has a limit  $f_q(x)$ . Moreover,  $f_q(x^{q^m}) = q^m f_q(x)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* From (2.4) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{q^n} f(x^{q^{n+k}}) - f(x^{q^k}) \right\| \leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x^{q^k}))$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{q^{n+k}} f(x^{q^{n+k}}) - \frac{1}{q^k} f(x^{q^k}) \right\| \leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \frac{\psi(q^k)}{q^k} \psi(\gamma(x)).$$

From (2.10), we conclude that the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{q^k} f(x^{q^k}) \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

is a Cauchy sequence, and by the completeness of  $E$  this sequence has a limit. We denote it by  $f_q(x)$ .

Now we show that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $f_q(x^{q^m}) = q^m f_q(x)$ . Indeed,

$$f_q(x^{q^m}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^k} f(x^{q^{m+k}}) = q^m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{k+m}} f(x^{q^{m+k}}) = q^m f_q(x).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.4.**  $f_q \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.2, it follows that there is a  $c_q > 0$  such that for any  $x \in S$ , the inequality

$$\|f_q(x) - f(x)\| \leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x))$$

holds. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_q(xy) - f_q(x) - f_q(y)\| \\ &= \|f_q(xy) - f(xy) - f_q(x) + f(x) - f_q(y) - f(y) + f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \\ &\leq \|f_q(xy) - f(xy)\| + \|f_q(x) + f(x)\| \\ &\quad + \|f_q(y) - f(y)\| + \|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \\ &\leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(xy)) + c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x)) \\ &\quad + c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(y)) + \theta[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} [\psi(\gamma(xy)) + \psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] + \theta[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y)) + \psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\quad + \theta[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq 2c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] + \theta[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq \left( 2c_q \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} + \theta \right) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and the functions  $f_q$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Then

$$f_2 = f_3 = \cdots = f_m = \cdots.$$

*Proof.* Let  $q > 2$ . Let us verify that  $f_2 = f_q$ . Consider the function  $\varphi$  defined by

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^m} f_2(x^{q^m}).$$

From Lemma 2.4, we see that  $\varphi \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ . From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\varphi(x^{q^m}) = q^m \varphi(x)$$

for all  $x \in S$  and for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, from the definition of the function  $\varphi$  and formula (2.4) in Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \|\varphi(x) - f_2(x)\| \leq c' \frac{q}{q - \psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x))$$

for some  $c' > 0$  and for all  $x \in S$ . It is clear from (2.11) that

$$(2.13) \quad \varphi(x^{2^m}) = 2^m \varphi(x)$$

for all  $x \in S$  and for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $x \in S$  and for  $c_q \geq c' \frac{q}{q-\psi(q)}$ , from (2.13) and (2.12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^n \|\varphi(x) - f_2(x)\| &\leq c \frac{q}{q-\psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x^{2^n})) \\ &\leq c_q \psi(2^n \gamma(x)) \\ &\leq c_q \psi(2^n) \psi(\gamma(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Since

$$(2.15) \quad \|\varphi(x) - f_2(x)\| \leq c_p \frac{\psi(2^n)}{2^n} \psi(\gamma(x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain that  $\varphi = f_2$ . Similarly, from the relations

$$\varphi(x^{q^m}) = q^m \varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in S, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\|\varphi(x) - f_q(x)\| \leq c \frac{q}{q-\psi(q)} \psi(\gamma(x)), \quad \forall x \in S,$$

we get  $\varphi = f_q$ . Thus, we have  $f_2 = \varphi = f_q$ .  $\square$

We denote the function  $\varphi$  introduced in Lemma 2.5 by  $\hat{f}$ . In other words, for any  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  the function  $\hat{f}$  is defined as

$$(2.16) \quad \hat{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x^{2^n}).$$

Furthermore, for any  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  the function  $\hat{f}$  satisfies the relation

$$\hat{f}(x^n) = n \hat{f}(x), \text{ for } x \in S \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed,

$$\hat{f}(x^q) = f_q(x^q) = q f_q(x) = q \hat{f}(x).$$

**Definition 2.6.** By a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mapping of a semigroup  $S$ , we mean a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -quasiadditive mapping  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(x^n) = n\varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We denote the space of  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mappings of a semigroup  $S$  by  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ .

If  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , then we will call a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -additive mapping a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -quasicharacter, and a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudoadditive mapping a  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -pseudocharacter. We denote the space  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; \mathbb{R})$  by  $KX_{\psi, \gamma}(S)$ , and  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; \mathbb{R})$  by  $PX_{\psi, \gamma}(S)$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** (i) Let a mapping  $f : S \rightarrow E$  satisfy the following relation:

$$\|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \text{ for all } x, y \in S$$

for some  $\theta > 0$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ , and for  $\theta_1 = \theta \frac{2}{2-\psi(2)}$  we have

$$\|\hat{f}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \theta_1 \psi(\gamma(x)) \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

(ii) Let  $f \in KX(S)$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in PX(S)$ . Further, if

$$\|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \text{ for some } \theta > 0 \text{ and for all } x, y \in S,$$

then

$$\|\hat{f}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \theta \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

Clearly,  $\hat{\cdot} : KAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) \rightarrow PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$  is a linear mapping of  $KAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$  onto  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ .

Corollary 2.7 can also be obtained from the results in [3].

**Lemma 2.8.** *If a semigroup  $S$  contains the unit  $e$  and  $f \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ , then*

- 1)  $f(e) = 0$ , and
- 2)  $f(x^{-1}) = -f(x)$  for any  $x \in S$  having an inverse.

*Proof.* 1)  $nf(e) = f(e^n) = f(e)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $f(e) = 0$ .

2) Let  $\theta > 0$  be such that

$$(2.17) \quad \|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Hence, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x^n x^{-n}) - f(x^n) - f(x^{-n})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x^n)) + \psi(\gamma(x^{-n}))], \\ \|f(e) - f(x^n) - f(x^{-n})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x^n)) + \psi(\gamma(x^{-n}))], \\ \|f(x^n) + f(x^{-n})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x^n)) + \psi(\gamma(x^{-n}))], \\ n\|f(x) + f(x^{-1})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x^n)) + \psi(\gamma(x^{-n}))], \\ n\|f(x) + f(x^{-1})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(n\gamma(x)) + \psi(n\gamma(x^{-1}))], \\ n\|f(x) + f(x^{-1})\| &\leq \theta [\psi(n)\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(n)\psi(\gamma(x^{-1}))], \\ \|f(x) + f(x^{-1})\| &\leq \theta \frac{\psi(n)}{n} [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(x^{-1}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{\psi(n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $f(x) + f(x^{-1}) = 0$ , that is,  $f(x^{-1}) = -f(x)$ .  $\square$

By  $B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$  we denote the linear real space of functions on  $S$  satisfying the relation

$$\|f(x)\| \leq c\psi(\gamma(x)) \quad \text{for some } c > 0 \text{ and for all } x \in S.$$

**Theorem 2.9.**  $KAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) \oplus B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$  and  $B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$  are subspaces of  $KAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ . Let us show that  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) \cap B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) = \{0\}$ .

Indeed, if  $f \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) \cap B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ , then for some  $c_f \geq 0$  we have

$$\|f(x)\| \leq c_f \psi(\gamma(x)), \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Let  $q > 1$ . Then for each  $x \in S$ , we get

$$\|f(x^{q^n})\| \leq c_f \psi(\gamma(x^{q^n})), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$q^n \|f(x)\| \leq c_f \psi(\gamma(x^{q^n})), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$q^n \|f(x)\| \leq c_f \psi(q^n \gamma(x)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the latter, we get

$$q^n \|f(x)\| \leq c_f \psi(q^n) \psi(\gamma(x)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\|f(x)\| \leq c_f \frac{\psi(q^n)}{q^n} \psi(\gamma(x)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence  $f \equiv 0$ . Now let  $f$  be an element from  $KAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ ; then  $\hat{f} \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ . From Corollary 2.7, we have  $f - \hat{f} \in B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ .  $\square$



Let  $\gamma(x) = c$  for some  $c > 0$  and for any  $x$  from a semigroup  $S$ . Then the space  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  consists of functions  $f : S \rightarrow E$  such that the set

$$\left\{ f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) \mid x, y \in S \right\}$$

is bounded and the set  $B_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  consists of a bounded function  $\delta : S \rightarrow E$ .

Now if  $E = \mathbb{R}$  we obtain  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; \mathbb{R}) = KX(S)$ ,  $B_{\psi, \gamma}(S; \mathbb{R}) = B(S)$ , where  $B(S)$  denotes the space of real bounded functions on  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Suppose that  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and  $a, b \in S$ . If  $ab = ba$ , then  $f(ab) = f(a) + f(b)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \| f(ab) - f(a) - f(b) \| &= \| f((ab)^n) - f(a^n) - f(b^n) \| \\ &= \| f(a^n b^n) - f(a^n) - f(b^n) \| \\ &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(a^n)) + \psi(\gamma(b^n))] \\ &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(a^n)) + \psi(\gamma(b^n))] \\ &\leq \theta [\psi(n\gamma(a)) + \psi(n\gamma(b))] \\ &\leq \theta \psi(n) [\psi(\gamma(a)) + \psi(\gamma(b))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\| f(ab) - f(a) - f(b) \| \leq \theta \frac{\psi(n)}{n} [\psi(\gamma(a)) + \psi(\gamma(b))].$$

Therefore,  $f(ab) - f(a) - f(b) = 0$ . □

**Theorem 2.11.** *Suppose that  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and  $x, y \in S$ . Then  $f(xy) = f(yx)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| f((xy)^{n+1}) - f(x) - f((yx)^n) - f(y) \| &\leq c_3 [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma((yx)^n)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_3 [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(n\gamma(yx)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_3 [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(n)\psi(\gamma(yx)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_3 [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(n)\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(n)\psi(\gamma(y)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_3 (\psi(n) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| f((xy)^{n+1}) - f((yx)^{n+1}) \| \\ &= \| f((xy)^{n+1}) - f(x) - f(y) - f((yx)^n) + f(x) + f(y) + f((yx)^n) - f((yx)^{n+1}) \| \\ &\leq \| f((xy)^{n+1}) - f(x) - f(y) - f((yx)^n) \| \\ &\quad + \| f(x) + f(y) + f((yx)^n) - f((yx)^{n+1}) \| \\ &\leq c_3 (\psi(n) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] + \| f(x) + f(y) - f(yx) \| \\ &\leq c_3 (\psi(n + 1) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] + c_2 [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq c_3 (\psi(n + 1) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] + c_2 (\psi(n + 1) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \\ &\leq (c_3 + c_2) (\psi(n + 1) + 1) [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(n+1)\|f(xy) - f(yx)\| \leq (c_3 + c_2)(\psi(n+1) + 1)[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))].$$

Therefore,

$$\|f(xy) - f(yx)\| \leq (c_3 + c_2)\left(\frac{\psi(n+1)}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)[\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))].$$

Hence,  $f(xy) = f(yx)$ .  $\square$

### 3. SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are semigroups with units. Let  $G = A \cdot B$  be a semidirect product of  $A$  and  $B$ , and let  $A$  act on  $B$  by endomorphisms. Let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Then we have  $a_1 b_1 \cdot a_2 b_2 = a_1 a_2 b_1^{a_2} b_2$ . Here  $b^a$  denotes the image of the element  $b$  under the endomorphism  $a$ . If  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G, E)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(a_1 b_1 \cdot a_2 b_2) - f(a_1 b_1) - f(a_2 b_2)\| &\leq \theta[\psi(\gamma(a_1 b_1)) + \psi(\gamma(a_2 b_2))], \\ \|f(ba) - f(b) - f(a)\| &\leq \theta[\psi(\gamma(b)) + \psi(\gamma(a))], \\ \|f(ab^a) - f(a) - f(b^a)\| &\leq \theta[\psi(\gamma(a)) + \psi(\gamma(b^a))]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semigroup,  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$ , and  $\alpha$  an endomorphism of  $S$ . Let  $f^\alpha$  be a function defined by  $f^\alpha(x) = f(x^\alpha)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and  $\alpha$  an endomorphism of a semigroup  $S$ . We will say that  $f$  is *invariant relative to  $\alpha$*  if  $f^\alpha = f$ . If  $f$  is invariant relative to all  $\alpha$  from a semigroup  $A$  of endomorphisms of  $S$ , we will say that  $f$  is invariant relative to  $A$ . The set of all  $f$  in  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  invariant relative to  $A$  will be denoted by  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S, A; E)$ .

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2.11.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group and  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ . Then  $f$  is invariant under inner automorphisms of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose that  $\alpha$  is an inner automorphism of  $G$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $G$  such that  $y^\alpha = x^{-1}yx$  for any  $y \in G$ . Then by Theorem 2.11, we have  $f^\alpha(y) = f(y^\alpha) = f(x^{-1} \cdot yx) = f(yx \cdot x^{-1}) = f(y)$ .  $\square$

It is clear that  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S, A; E)$  is a linear space. Its subspace consisting of elements from  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  will be denoted by  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S, A; E)$ . Let  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$ , and let us extend the function  $f$  to a function  $f_1$  defined on  $G = A \cdot B$  as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad f_1(ab) = f(b) \quad \forall a \in A, \quad b \in B.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose  $f \in KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$ ,  $\gamma(b^a) = \gamma(b)$  and  $\gamma(ab) = \gamma(a) + \gamma(b)$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Then the function  $f_1$  defined by (3.1) is in  $KAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1(a_1 b_1 \cdot a_2 b_2) - f_1(a_1 b_1) - f_1(a_2 b_2)\| &= \|f(b_1^{a_2} b_2) - f(b_1) - f(b_2)\| \\ &= \|f(b_1^{a_2} b_2) - f(b_1^{a_2}) - f(b_2)\| \\ &\leq \theta[\psi(\gamma(b_1^{a_2})) + \psi(\gamma(b_2))] \\ &= \theta[\psi(\gamma(b_1)) + \psi(\gamma(b_2))] \\ &\leq \theta[\psi(\gamma(a_1 b_1)) + \psi(\gamma(a_2 b_2))], \end{aligned}$$

the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$ ,  $\gamma(b^a) = \gamma(b)$ ,  $\gamma(ab) = \gamma(a) + \gamma(b)$ , and the function  $f_1$  is defined by (3.1). Then the function

$$\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} f_1(x^n) \quad \forall x \in G = A \cdot B$$

belongs to  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ , and

$$\varphi|_B = f, \quad \varphi|_A \equiv 0.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\varphi \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$  and  $\varphi|_A \equiv 0$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\varphi(b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} f(b^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} n f(b) = f(b).$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

It is evident that the mapping  $f \rightarrow \varphi$  is an embedding of  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$  into  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ .

Let  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E)$ ; then the function  $\lambda(ab) = f(a)$  belongs to  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$  and the mapping  $f \rightarrow \lambda$  is an embedding of  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E)$  into  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ . Hence, we can assume that the spaces  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E)$  and  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$  are subspaces of  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\gamma(b^a) = \gamma(b)$ ,  $\gamma(ab) = \gamma(a) + \gamma(b)$ , for all  $a \in A$  and for all  $b \in B$ . Then  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A \cdot B; E) = PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E) \oplus PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E) \cap PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E) \cap PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$ , then  $f|_A \equiv 0$  and  $f|_B \equiv 0$ . Hence, from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(ab) - f(a) - f(b)\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(a)) + \psi(\gamma(b))], \\ \psi(\gamma(a)) &\leq \psi(\gamma(ab)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi(\gamma(b)) \leq \psi(\gamma(ab)),$$

we have

$$\|f(ab)\| \leq 2\theta \psi(\gamma(ab)).$$

Therefore,  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G)$  and  $f \equiv 0$ . Hence, the subspace of  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$  generated by  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E)$  and  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E)$  is their direct sum; that is,

$$PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E) \oplus PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E) \subseteq PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E).$$

Let us verify that

$$PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E) \oplus PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B, A; E) = PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E).$$

Suppose that  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$  and  $\varphi = f|_A$ ; then  $\varphi \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A; E)$ . Let us extend  $\varphi$  to the function  $\widehat{\varphi}$  on the semigroup  $G$  as follows:

$$\widehat{\varphi}(ab) = \varphi(a).$$

It is clear that  $\widehat{\varphi} \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$  and

$$g(x) = f(x) - \widehat{\varphi}(x) \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E), (f - \widehat{\varphi})|_A \equiv 0.$$

Let us verify that  $g \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B, A; E)$ . Obviously  $\widehat{\varphi}|_B \equiv 0$ . Hence,  $g|_B \equiv f|_B$ . Furthermore, for some  $\theta > 0$ , we have

$$\|g(ba) - g(b) - g(a)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(b)) + \psi(\gamma(a))].$$

Hence,

$$\|g(ab^a) - g(b^a) - g(a)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(b^a)) + \psi(\gamma(a))],$$

and, because  $\gamma(b^a) = \gamma(b)$  and  $ba = ab^a$ , we obtain

$$\|g(b^a) - g(b)\| \leq 2\theta [\psi(\gamma(b)) + \psi(\gamma(a))].$$

The latter implies that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\|g((b^n)^a) - g(b^n)\| \leq 2\theta [\psi(\gamma(b^n)) + \psi(\gamma(a))].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(b^a) - g(b)\| &\leq 2\theta \frac{[\psi(n\gamma(b)) + \psi(\gamma(a))]}{n} \\ &\leq 2\theta \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \psi(\gamma(b)) + 2\theta \frac{\psi(\gamma(a))}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\gamma(a))}{n} = 0,$$

we obtain the relation  $g(b^a) = g(b)$ . Hence,  $g \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B, A; E)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. STABILITY

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary semigroup with unit, and  $B$  be a group. For each  $b \in B$ , denote by  $A(b)$  a semigroup that is isomorphic to  $A$  under an isomorphism  $a \rightarrow a(b)$ . Denote by  $D = A^{(B)} = \prod_{b \in B} A(b)$  the direct product of semigroups  $A(b)$ . It is clear that if  $a(b_1)a(b_2) \cdots a(b_k)$  is an element of  $D$ , then for any  $b \in B$ , the mapping

$$b^* : a(b_1)a(b_2) \cdots a(b_k) \rightarrow a(b_1b)a(b_2b) \cdots a(b_kb)$$

is an automorphism of  $D$  and  $b \rightarrow b^*$  is an embedding of  $B$  into  $\text{Aut } D$ .

Hence, we can form a semidirect product  $G = B \cdot D$ . This semigroup is the *wreath product* of the semigroup  $A$  and the group  $B$ , and will be denoted by  $G = A \wr B$ . We will identify the semigroup  $A$  with  $A(1)$ , where  $1 \in B$ . Hence, we can assume that  $A$  is a subsemigroup of  $D$ .

Let  $\gamma_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \gamma_A(x) + \gamma_A(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Let  $\gamma_B : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that  $\gamma_B(xy) \leq \gamma_B(x) + \gamma_B(y)$  for all  $x, y \in B$ . Let us extend  $\gamma_A$  from  $A$  to  $D$  as follows:  $\gamma(a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_m(b_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_A(a_i)$ .

Now let us write

$$\gamma(b \cdot a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_m(b_m)) = \gamma_B(b) + \gamma(a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_m(b_m)).$$

Denote by  $HOM(A; E)$  the set of all homomorphisms from  $A$  into  $E$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** (i) *If the group  $B$  is infinite, then*

$$PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A \wr B) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B) \oplus HOM(A; E).$$

(ii) *If the group  $B$  is finite, then*

$$PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A \wr B; E) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B; E) \oplus PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A; E).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 we have  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(A \wr B) = PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(B) \oplus PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(D, B)$ . Let  $b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , be distinct elements from  $B$ . Then for any  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the subsemigroup of  $D$  generated by  $a_i(b_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is abelian. Hence if  $u = a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_k(b_k), v = \alpha_1(b_1)\alpha_2(b_2) \cdots \alpha_k(b_k)$  and  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(D, B)$ , then

$$\|f(uv) - f(u) - f(v)\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k [f(a_i \alpha_i(b_i)) - f(a_i(b_i)) - f(\alpha_i(b_i))] \right\|.$$

Let  $B$  be an infinite group, and let  $b_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be distinct elements from  $B$ . Let  $a, \alpha \in A$ . Consider elements  $u_k = a(b_1)a(b_2) \cdots a(b_k)$  and  $v_k = \alpha(b_1)\alpha(b_2) \cdots \alpha(b_k)$ . Then by Theorem 2.10, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\|f(uv) - f(u) - f(v)\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k [f(a\alpha(b_i)) - f(a(b_i)) - f(\alpha(b_i))] \right\|.$$

Let  $r = f(a\alpha(b_i)) - f(a(b_i)) - f(\alpha(b_i))$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(uv) - f(u) - f(v)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k [f(a\alpha(b_i)) - f(a(b_i)) - f(\alpha(b_i))] \right\| \\ &= \|k[f(a\alpha(b_i)) - f(a(b_i)) - f(\alpha(b_i))]\| \\ &= k \cdot \|r\|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|f(uv) - f(u) - f(v)\| \leq c[\psi(\gamma(u)) + \psi(\gamma(v))].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} k\|r\| &\leq c[\psi(\gamma(u_k)) + \psi(\gamma(v_k))] \\ &= c[\psi(\gamma(a(b_1)a(b_2) \cdots a(b_k))) + \psi(\gamma(\alpha(b_1)\alpha(b_2) \cdots \alpha(b_k)))] \\ &\leq c \left[ \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma(a(b_i)) \right) + \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma(\alpha(b_i)) \right) \right] \\ &\leq c[\psi(k\gamma(a)) + \psi(k\gamma(\alpha))] \\ &\leq c[\psi(k)\psi(\gamma(a)) + \psi(k)\psi(\gamma(\alpha))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|r\| \leq c \frac{\psi(k)}{k} \psi(\gamma(a)) + c \frac{\psi(k)}{k} \psi(\gamma(\alpha)), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The latter is possible only if  $r = 0$ . Thus if  $B$  is infinite and  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(D, B)$ , then  $f$  is a homomorphism of  $D$ . Denote by  $\xi_b$  the restriction of  $f$  to  $A(b)$ . Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element from  $A$ . According to the action of  $B$  on  $D$  we have

$$f(a(b)) = f(a(1)^b) = f(a(1)),$$

that is,  $\xi_b(a(b)) = \xi_1(a(1))$ . Hence, there is an element  $\xi$  in  $HOM(A; E)$  such that  $\xi_b(a(b)) = \xi(a)$  for any  $a \in A$  and for any  $b \in B$ . Therefore, for any  $u = a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_k(b_k)$  the relation

$$f(a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_k(b_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi(a_i)$$

holds. Hence  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A \wr B; E) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B; E) \oplus HOM(A; E)$ .

Now let  $B$  be a finite group of order  $m$ . It is easy to verify that in this case, for any  $f \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(D, B)$ , there is a  $\varphi \in PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A)$  such that

$$f(a_1(b_1)a_2(b_2) \cdots a_m(b_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(a_i).$$

Hence if the group  $B$  is finite, then

$$PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A \wr B; E) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(B; E) \oplus PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(A; E).$$

□

**Definition 4.2.** We say that the functional equation

$$(4.1) \quad f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) = 0$$

is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on a semigroup  $S$  if for any  $f$  satisfying the functional inequality

$$\|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))], \quad x, y \in S,$$

for some  $\theta > 0$  there is a solution  $T$  of the functional equation (4.1) such that the function  $T(x) - f(x)$  belongs to  $B_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E)$ .

It is evident that (4.1) is stable if and only if  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(S; E) = HOM(S; E)$ .

Now from Theorem 2.10 we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** *The functional equation (4.1) is stable for any Abelian semigroup.*

Consider the free group  $F$  on two generators  $\alpha, \beta$ . Let  $f$  be Forti's function (see the introduction). Then the function  $\hat{f}$  is a pseudocharacter of  $F$  such that  $\hat{f}(\alpha\beta) = 1$ . Let  $E$  be an arbitrary Banach space. Consider a mapping  $\varphi : F \rightarrow E$  such that  $\varphi(x) = \hat{f}(x) \cdot e$ , where  $e$  denotes some fixed element of  $E$  such that  $\|e\| = 1$ . Let us define a function  $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as a constant  $\gamma(x) = c$  for some  $c > 0$ .

Now let us verify that the equation (4.1) is not  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable.

Indeed, it is easy to see that  $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\beta) = 0$ . Suppose that there is an element  $\xi \in HOM(F; E)$  such that  $g = \varphi - \xi \in B(F; E)$ ; then  $g(\alpha) = \xi(\alpha)$ ,  $g(\beta) = \xi(\beta)$ . From the relations  $g(\alpha^n) = \xi(\alpha^n) = n \cdot \xi(\alpha)$ ,  $g(\beta^n) = n \cdot \xi(\beta)$ , we obtain  $\xi(\alpha) = \xi(\beta) = 0$ . Hence  $\xi \equiv 0$  on  $F$ . This implies  $g = \varphi$  and contradicts the assumption that  $g$  is bounded.

So, in general, equation (4.1) is not  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on the class of all groups.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary group. Then  $A$  can be embedded into a group  $G$ , and the equation*

$$f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) = 0$$

*is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* If equation (4.1) is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $A$ , there is nothing to prove. Now suppose that (4.1) is not  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $A$ . Let  $G = A \wr \mathbb{Z}$ . Then by Theorem 4.1, we obtain  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(G; E) = PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}; E) \oplus HOM(A; E)$ . Now from Theorem 2.10, we have  $PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(\mathbb{Z}; E) = HOM(\mathbb{Z}; E)$ . Hence,

$$PAM_{\psi,\gamma}(G; E) = HOM(\mathbb{Z}; E) \oplus HOM(A; E),$$

and the proof is complete. □

**Definition 4.5.** We shall say that an element  $x$  of a semigroup  $S$  is *periodic* if there are  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \neq m$  and  $x^n = x^m$ . We shall say that the semigroup is periodic if every element of  $S$  is periodic.

From Lemma 2.8, it follows that if  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S; E)$  and  $x$  is a periodic element of  $S$ , then  $f(x) = 0$ . Indeed, for some  $n \neq m$ ,  $f(x^n) = f(x^m)$  implies  $nf(x) = mf(x)$ , which is  $(n - m)f(x) = 0$ , and thus  $f(x) = 0$ . So equation (4.1) is stable for any periodic semigroup.

*Remark 4.6.* If  $S$  is a semigroup with zero and  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S, E)$ , then  $f \equiv 0$ .

To see this, consider, for some  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(y))], \quad x, y \in S.$$

It is clear that  $f(0) = 0$ . Now substituting 0 for  $y$  in the last inequality, we obtain  $\|f(x)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(0))]$  for all  $x \in S$ . The latter implies  $\|f(x^n)\| \leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(x^n)) + \psi(\gamma(0))]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$\|f(x)\| \leq \theta \frac{1}{n} [\psi(n\gamma(x)) + \psi(\gamma(0))], \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\|f(x)\| \leq \theta \left[ \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \psi(\gamma(x)) + \frac{1}{n} \psi(\gamma(0)) \right] \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The last inequality yields  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ .

The next corollary follows from Remark 4.6.

**Corollary 4.7.** Any semigroup  $S$  can be embedded into a semigroup  $S_0$  such that equation (4.1) is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $S_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_0$  be a semigroup obtained by adjoining the zero to the semigroup  $S$ . From Remark 4.6, we have  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(S_0, E) = \{0\}$ . Hence equation (4.1) is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $S_0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.8.** We shall say that in a semigroup  $S$  a *left law of reduction* is fulfilled if any equality  $xy = xz$  in  $S$  implies  $y = z$ . Similarly, we shall say that in a semigroup  $S$  a *right law of reduction* is fulfilled if any equality  $yx = zx$  in  $S$  implies  $y = z$ .

Obviously, in a semigroup with zero, neither a left nor a right law of reduction is fulfilled.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup with left (or right) law of reduction. Then  $S$  can be embedded into a semigroup  $G$  with the left (or right respectively) law of reduction such that equation (4.1) is  $(\psi, \gamma)$ -stable on  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a semigroup with left (or right) law of reduction. It is not difficult to verify that  $S \wr \mathbb{Z}$  is a semigroup with left (or right) law of reduction respectively. Now we apply the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 4.4.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group. For  $a, b, c \in G$ , we set  $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$  and  $[a, b, c] = [[a, b], c]$ .

**Definition 4.10.** We shall say that  $G$  is *metabelian* if for any  $x, y, z \in G$ , we have  $[[x, y], z] = 1$ .

It is clear that if  $[x, y] = 1$ , then  $[[x, y], z] = 1$ , and hence any abelian group is metabelian.

Our next goal is to prove a stability theorem for any metabelian group. Consider the group  $H$  with two generators  $a, b$  and the following defining relations:

$$(4.2) \quad [b, a]a = a[b, a], \quad b[b, a] = [b, a]b.$$

If we set  $c = [b, a]$ , we get the following representation of  $H$  in terms of generators and defining relations:  $H = \langle a, b, c \mid c = [b, a], [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$ .

It is well known that each element of  $H$  can be uniquely represented as  $g = a^m b^n c^k$ , where  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The mapping

$$g = a^m b^n c^k \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n & k \\ 0 & 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism between  $H$  and  $UT(3, \mathbb{Z})$ .

By induction on  $p$ , it is easy to verify for any  $m, n, k, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  the following relation holds:

$$(a^n b^m)^p = a^{np} b^{mp} c^{\rho(p)nm}, \quad \text{where } \rho(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i.$$

From this relation it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad (a^n b^m c^k)^p = a^{np} b^{mp} c^{\rho(p)nm + pk}.$$

**Lemma 4.11.** *If  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(H; E)$  and  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ , then  $f \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us verify that  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = bab^{-1}a^{-1}$ . Indeed,  $ba = abc$ ; hence  $bab^{-1}a^{-1} = abcb^{-1}a^{-1} = abb^{-1}a^{-1}c = c$ . Now from Theorems 2.10, 2.11 and Lemma 2.8 we obtain  $f(c) = f(b^{-1}a^{-1}ba) = f(b^{-1}a^{-1}) + f(ba) = f((ab)^{-1}) + f(ba) = -f(ab) + f(ba) = -f(ba) + f(ba) = 0$ .

The element  $c$  belongs to the center of  $H$ . Now for  $z = a^m b^n c^k$ , we have  $f(z) = f(a^m b^n c^k) = f(a^m b^n) + f(c^k) = f(a^m b^n)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(z) - f(a^m) - f(b^n)\| &\leq \theta [\psi(\gamma(a^m)) + \psi(\gamma(b^n))], \\ \|f(z)\| &\leq \theta [\psi(m\gamma(a)) + \psi(n\gamma(b))], \end{aligned}$$

and now from the relation (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(z^p)\| &\leq \theta [\psi(mp\gamma(a)) + \psi(np\gamma(b))], \\ \|f(z)\| &\leq \theta \frac{\psi(p)}{p} [\psi(m\gamma(a)) + \psi(n\gamma(b))]. \end{aligned}$$

Now from the relation  $\frac{\psi(p)}{p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $f(z) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.**  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(H; E) = HOM(H; E)$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that the group  $H$  is a free metabelian group and the elements  $a, b$  are free generators of  $H$ . This means that every assignment  $a \rightarrow x$  and  $b \rightarrow y$ , where  $x, y \in E$ , can be extended to a homomorphism of  $H$  into  $E$ . Now let  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(H; E)$ ; then there is an element  $\varphi \in HOM(H; E)$  such that  $\varphi(a) = f(a)$ ,  $\varphi(b) = f(b)$ . Since  $g = f - \varphi \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(H; E)$  and  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ , by the previous lemma we get  $g \equiv 0$ . Therefore  $f \equiv \varphi$ .  $\square$



**Theorem 4.13.** *The equation (4.1) is stable on any metabelian group.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a metabelian group and  $f \in PAM_{\psi, \gamma}(G; E)$ . Let  $x, y \in G$ ; then there is a homomorphism  $\tau$  of  $H$  into  $G$  such that  $\tau(a) = x$  and  $\tau(b) = y$ . It is clear that the function  $\gamma^*$  on  $H$  defined by the rule  $\gamma^*(g) = \gamma(\tau(g))$  satisfies the condition  $\gamma^*(g_1 g_2) \leq \gamma^*(g_1) + \gamma^*(g_2)$ . Obviously, the function  $f^*(g) = f(\tau(g))$  belongs to  $PAM_{\psi, \gamma^*}(H; E)$ . Now if  $f(xy) \neq f(x) + f(y)$ , then  $f^*(ab) \neq f^*(a) + f^*(b)$ , and we arrive at a contradiction with the previous lemma. Thus  $f \in HOM(H; E)$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referee for suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR **90h**:39001
2. J. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 411–416. MR **81m**:39015
3. C. Borelli and G. L. Forti, *On a general Hyers–Ulam stability result*, J. Math. and Math. Sci. **18** (1995), 229–236. MR **96c**:39019
4. V. A. Faiziev, *Pseudocharacters on free products of semigroups*, Funkts. analiz. i ego priloz. **21** (1987), 86–87; English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl. **21** (1987), 77–79. MR **88e**:20054
5. V. A. Faiziev, *Pseudocharacters on free group and some groups constructions*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **43** (1988), 225–226; English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **43** (1988), no. 5, 219–220. MR **90a**:20047
6. V. A. Faiziev, *On the space of pseudocharacters of the free product of semigroups*, Matem. Zametki **52** (1992), 126–137; English transl., Math. Notes **52** (1992), 1255–1264. MR **93m**:20087
7. V. A. Faiziev, *Pseudocharacters on free group*, Izvestiya Russ. Ak. Nauk Ser. Matem. **58** (1994), 121–143; English transl., Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **44** (1995), 119–141. MR **95b**:20041
8. V. A. Faiziev, *Pseudocharacters on free semigroups*, Russian Journal of Math. Physics **3** (1995), 191–206. MR **96h**:20108
9. V. A. Faiziev, *Pseudocharacters on a class of extension of free groups*, New York Journal of Math. **6** (2000), 135–152. MR **2001k**:39048
10. I. Fenyő, *Osservazioni su alcuni teoremi di D.H.Hyers*, Istit. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A **114** (1980), 235–242. MR **85a**:39008
11. G. L. Forti, *Remark 11 in: Report of the 22nd Internat. Symposium on Functional Equations*, Aequationes Math. **29** (1985), 90–91.
12. G. L. Forti, *Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aequationes Math. **50** (1995), 143–190. MR **96i**:39003
13. Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434. MR **92e**:39029
14. P. de la Harpe and M. Karoubi, *Représentations approchées d’un groupe dans une algèbre de Banach*, Manuscripta Math. **22** (1977), 297–310. MR **58**:16948
15. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **27** (1941), 222–224. MR **2**:315a
16. D. H. Hyers, *The stability of homomorphisms and related topics* In: Global Analysis– Analysis on Manifolds (ed. Th. M. Rassias), Teubner-Texte zur Math., Leipzig, 1983, pp. 140–153. MR **86a**:39004
17. D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam, *On approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1945), 288–292. MR **7**:123f
18. D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam, *Approximate isometries of the space of continuous functions*, Ann. of Math. **48** (1947), 285–289. MR **8**:588b
19. D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44** (1992), 125–153. MR **93i**:39007

20. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific Publ. Co. Singapore/New Jersey/London, 1997. MR **98g**:47001
21. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Berlin, 1998. MR **99i**:39035
22. G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *On the Hyers–Ulam stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings*, Journal of Approximation Theory **72** (1993), 131–137. MR **94b**:39043
23. G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228. MR **96m**:47114
24. D. Kazhdan, *On  $\varepsilon$ -representations*, Israel J. Math. **43** (1982), 315–323. MR **84h**:22011
25. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300. MR **80d**:47094
26. Th. M. Rassias, *Problem 16, in : Report of the 27<sup>th</sup> Internat. Symposium on Functional Equations*, Aequationes Math. **39** (1990), 309.
27. Th. M. Rassias, *On a modified Hyers–Ulam sequence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **158** (1991), 106–113. MR **92d**:46046
28. S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*. Interscience Publ., New York, 1960. MR **22**:10884

TVER STATE AGRICULTURAL ACADEMY, TVER SAKHAROVO, RUSSIA

*Current address:* Tereshkova Sq. 47/27–43, Tver 170037, Russia

*E-mail address:* [valeriy.fayziev@tversu.ru](mailto:valeriy.fayziev@tversu.ru)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, ZOGRAFOU CAMPUS, 15780 ATHENS, GREECE

*E-mail address:* [trassias@math.ntua.gr](mailto:trassias@math.ntua.gr)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40292

*E-mail address:* [sahoo@louisville.edu](mailto:sahoo@louisville.edu)